

1 David C. Carr, Bar No. 124510  
2 KLINEDINST PC  
3 501 West Broadway, Suite 600  
4 San Diego, California 92101  
5 (619) 239-8131/FAX (619) 238-8707  
6 dcarr@klinedinstlaw.com

7 Attorneys for Respondent  
8 BLAKE ALAN HUDSON

**FILED**

**MAR 24 2017**  
**STATE BAR COURT**  
**CLERK'S OFFICE**  
**LOS ANGELES**

**STATE BAR COURT**  
**HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES**

11 In the Matter of  
12 BLAKE ALAN HUDSON, no. 171856.  
13 A member of the State Bar of California.

Case No. 16-C-16458-CV  
RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF HEARING ON  
CONVICTION  
[Rule of Procedure 5.345(b)]

Address for Service

16 All notices in this proceeding should be served on Mr. Hudson's counsel at the above  
17 address.

Response to Issues Stated in Notice of Referral

19 Mr. Hudson denies that the facts and circumstances surrounding his conviction involved  
20 moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline. He contends that no discipline is  
21 warranted by the criminal conviction.

KLINEDINST PC

24 DATED: March 22, 2017

By: 

David C. Carr  
Counsel for Respondent  
BLAKE ALAN HUDSON

kwiktag® 211 099 539



David C. Carr, Bar No. 124510  
KLINEDINST PC  
501 West Broadway, Suite 600  
San Diego, California 92101  
(619) 239-8131/FAX (619) 238-8707  
dcarr@klinedinstlaw.com

Attorneys for Respondent  
BLAKE ALAN HUDSON

**STATE BAR COURT**  
**HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES**

In the Matter of

Case No. 16-C-16458-CV

BLAKE ALAN HUDSON, no. 171856.

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

A Member of the State Bar of California.

Trial Date: None set

I declare that:

I am and was at the time of service of the papers herein, over the age of eighteen (18) years and am not a party to the action. I am employed in the County of San Diego, and my business address is 501 West Broadway, Suite 600, San Diego, California.

On March 23, 2017, I caused to be served the following documents:

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF HEARING ON CONVICTION.**

- ☐ **VIA FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION:** (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1013(e) and (f)): From fax number (619) 238-8707 to the fax numbers listed below and/or on the attached service list. The facsimile machine I used complied with Rule 2.306 and no error was reported by the machine.
- ☐ **VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION:** Complying with an agreement with all parties, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) listed below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful. My electronic service address is khamilton@klinedinstlaw.com. A copy of the sent email will be maintained with the original document(s) in our office. (Code Civ. Proc. § 1010.6 and Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 2.251)
- ☐ **VIA ELECTRONIC FILING SERVICE:** Complying with Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6, my electronic business address is khamilton@klinedinstlaw.com and I

caused such document(s) to be electronically served through the \_\_\_\_\_ system for the above-entitled case to those parties on the Service List maintained on its website for this case. The file transmission was reported as complete and a copy of the Filing/Service Receipt will be maintained with the original document(s) in our office.

☒ **VIA MAIL:** By placing a copy thereof for delivery in a separate envelope addressed to each addressee, respectively, as follows:

☒ **BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL (Code of Civ. Proc. §§ 1013 and 1013(a))**

☐ **BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1013(c) and (d))**


☐ **BY CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT MAIL (Code of Civ. Proc. §§ 1013 and 1013(a))**

Scott Karpf Office of Chief Trial Counsel The State Bar of California 845 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles CA 90017	
--	--

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Diego, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 23, 2017, at San Diego, California.

  
Karla M. Hamilton

16928172v1